

Cerebral  
Reorganization  
of Function  
After Brain  
Damage

EDITED BY

Harvey S. Levin  
Jordan Grafman

# Cerebral Reorganization of Function After Brain Damage

*This page intentionally left blank*

# CEREBRAL REORGANIZATION OF FUNCTION AFTER BRAIN DAMAGE

Edited by

Harvey S. Levin

*Departments of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation,  
Psychiatry, Neurosurgery, and Pediatrics  
Baylor College of Medicine*

Jordan Grafman

*Cognitive Neuroscience Section  
National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke  
National Institutes of Health*

**OXFORD**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

2000

**OXFORD**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Oxford New York  
Athens Auckland Bangkok Bogotá Buenos Aires Calcutta  
Cape Town Chennai Dar es Salaam Delhi Florence Hong Kong Istanbul  
Karachi Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Mumbai  
Nairobi Paris São Paulo Singapore Taipei Tokyo Toronto Warsaw  
and associated companies in  
Berlin Ibadan

Copyright © 2000 by Oxford University Press, Inc.

Published by Oxford University Press, Inc.,  
198 Madison Avenue, New York, New York, 10016  
<http://www.oup-usa.org>  
1-800-334-4249

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced,  
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means,  
electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise,  
without the prior permission of Oxford University Press.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data  
Cerebral reorganization of function after brain damage / edited by Harvey S. Levin, Jordan Grafman.  
p.; cm.

Includes bibliographical references.

ISBN 0-19-512026-4

I. Neuroplasticity. 2. Brain damage—Patients—Rehabilitation. I. Levin, Harvey S.  
II. Grafman, Jordan.

[DNLM: 1. Brain Injuries—rehabilitation. 2. Brain Injuries—complications.

3. Cognition Disorders—rehabilitation. 4. Neuronal Plasticity. WL 354 C4146 2000]

QP363.3 .C47 2000

616.8—dc21 99-047749

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Printed in the United States of America  
on acid-free paper

I dedicate this book to Arthur Benton for the training I received under his leadership at the University of Iowa and for his mentorship throughout my career, to Robert Grossman and Howard Eisenberg for their encouragement during my transition to becoming an independent investigator, to Angela Williams for her support and understanding, and to Keisha S. Johnson for coordination with the authors and Oxford University Press.

H.S.L.

This book, like all my other research, is supported by the intellectual stimulation and care I receive from my wife Irene Litvan. To my mother, Phyllis, whose courage provided me the foundation that my career is built upon. Finally, I owe a great deal to all the staff and fellows who have passed through the Cognitive Neuroscience Section whose thoughts and creativity force me to continually challenge my own beliefs and methods.

J.G.

*This page intentionally left blank*

# Foreword

Twenty years ago, a graduate student delving into the mysteries of nervous system injury or neurodegeneration understood the term *neuroplasticity* to refer to the developmental process and believed that after birth, it was downhill all the way. Today, a graduate student of neuroscience hardly knows where to begin to tackle the many processes that are involved in the dynamic, changing, responsive, “plastic” brain. Levin and Grafman have highlighted an exciting area of neuroscience from the important perspective of rehabilitation of the injured brain. The contents of this volume will lead the interested reader through the background, significance, practical applications, and potential future of neuroplasticity as a natural part of the living nervous system and as a tool to use in the treatment of neurological conditions.

The book has four well-rounded parts: a history and introduction, a perspective on the types of research relevant to brain plasticity, techniques to evaluate changes in the brain, and the possible applications of concepts of neuroplasticity to rehabilitation practice.

Parts I and II present a comprehensive and thought-provoking overview of the concepts of plasticity, or the capacity for change within the nervous system. Important theories in neuroscience and psychology are discussed in light of recent findings. The chapters in these two parts of the volume point out the usefulness of animal models, from rodents to primates, in the study of brain development, circuitry, plasticity, and injury. In addition to the existing paradigms, new technologies to produce knockout or transgenic animals will allow genetic dissection of the mechanisms that underlie the establishment of and changes seen in the brain’s circuitry. These chapters present a wide variety of basic research that highlights important advances in neuroplasticity theory. Studies of primates show that alterations can occur in limbic cortical areas that control emotion, executive function, and reasoning capacities; it is intriguing to relate these observations to the sequelae of human traumatic brain injury. The intense connectivity among brain regions and the implications of these associations are clearly presented. Primate models certainly provide brain maps that can be closely related to human maps, and rodent models provide the opportunity for fairly rapid assessment of the numerous intrinsic chemical alterations that occur after injury. These factors change after injury, and such alterations may contribute to the molecular and synaptic plasticity that are part of

regeneration and fiber sprouting in damaged systems. The observations of changes in cortical maps can be put into the context of changes in neurotransmitters, growth-stimulating proteins, and hormones. Several chapters present the robust responses of neurons and glial cells in a way that encourages further inquiry and adds important information to the knowledge base of any reader interested in neural plasticity, brain injury, or rehabilitation.

The authors do not shy away from the complexities of interpreting the findings from animal models of stroke and traumatic brain injury (TBI) that include attempts at treatment. Seemingly contradictory results from different models are reconciled by introducing important concepts relating to the possible cascades of secondary injury that result from ischemia and trauma and the timing of interventions meant to overcome deficits. For rehabilitation strategies, there may be periods of increased tissue vulnerability. Very early or aggressive programs of therapy may actually promote maladaptive plastic changes, leading to more severe lesions and deficits. The same cautionary note is applied to drug therapies, and agents that may appear efficacious when given soon after injury may do harm if therapy is delayed. These observations are important to both researchers and clinicians in the field who wish to design therapies for TBI.

The second half of the book provides both an excellent overview and detailed studies of plasticity in the human brain, both in the developing child and in the injured adult. These chapters present numerous methods of studying brain function that include neuropsychological tests and medical imaging. As one author states, "The developing brain is a dynamic, responsive, and self-organizing system. Early injury constitutes a perturbation of normal development" (Stiles, Chapter 10, p. 201). The effects of TBI, especially a mild injury, may not be immediately apparent, but emerge later during development when language, reading, or social interactions are usually acquired. The complexities of development and damage are often difficult to dissect. Again, the authors present the difficulties as well as the possible interpretations and caveats of using a particular parameter to assess function. Such methods as functional magnetic resonance imaging, electroencephalography, dichotic listening, and position emission tomography, scanning, to name only a few, are presented along with case studies of a variety of developmental disorders, TBI, and stroke. Results are interpreted carefully, with an understanding of the techniques used, the disorders under study, and the developmental processes that may impinge on results. Such a careful overview presents the reader with an idea of the combination of assessment tools that are necessary when tackling a study of brain plasticity. The discussion of future directions for research and practice presented in Chapter 19 provides an exciting conclusion to the volume.

The book leads the reader through the complexities and promise of neuroplasticity, and presents insights into current and future research and clinical practice. It is a valuable overview for anyone interested in how the brain works, develops and creates, responds to injury, and forms itself in response to the environment.

Mary Ellen Cheung, Ph.D.  
Repair and Plasticity, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke

# Preface

It is compelling to watch a young child learn the meaning of a new word or how to play a computer game. Children learn through trial and error and at times demonstrate a remarkably rapid learning curve. Fortunately, learning is not restricted to children; we retain the capacity to learn throughout life. This capacity can even be seen when children and adults suffer brain damage and need to relearn knowledge or skills that they had previously acquired or need to learn new knowledge and skills. That the brain mediates this learning and is the storehouse of new knowledge and skills has been known for centuries. What has been less certain is how the brain manages to change to accommodate new learning under normal conditions or following damage at various stages in development. Within the last 30 years, there has been considerable progress in forming an understanding of the genetic, cellular, assembly, system, and psychological factors that contribute to learning and plasticity. The purpose of this volume is to provide an update of much of that work and to suggest ways in which the knowledge gained in the laboratory can be translated into practical gains in helping people recover from brain damage.

Although it is clear that plasticity can be reflected at various levels in the nervous system, it is by no means obvious which level is most important or appropriate for an understanding of different forms of learning. The dominant theme in this volume is that changes at the network level are crucial for an understanding of how new learning is accomplished. For example, some researchers believe that the capacity to learn is reflected in the density of connectivity (and neurons) in a network. There is also evidence that as a network is activated and used during learning, the boundaries of the network expand to reflect its growing participation in a functional activity. The idea of a flexible boundary is appealing because it suggests that while the brain has regional functional networks that become firmly established at maturity, their boundaries can be extremely flexible in childhood and even in adulthood. It is not known whether this functional map expansion comes at a cost to the functional capabilities of neighboring networks.

One of the goals of this volume is to understand whether the basic principles of neuronal plasticity such as those described above can be used to develop treatments that can facilitate recovery of function after brain injury. There appear to be at least four major forms of neuroplasticity that can occur after brain damage. One form was alluded to above and is indicated by an expansion in the size of the

cortical map of a sensorimotor or cognitive function with use. Another form of plasticity concerns the apparent transfer of function from one region of cortex to another—usually to the contralateral cortex. This phenomenon is generally known as *homologous region adaptation*. It suggests that the transferred function was at least partially latent (and perhaps inhibited or masked) in the homologous region and could not emerge without concomitant brain damage in the contralateral hemisphere. A most dramatic form of functional plasticity, known as *sensory substitution*, indicates that a cortical region previously devoted to accepting the sensory input of one modality (e.g., vision) is now capable of processing a new kind of sensory input (e.g., tactile information). This form of sensory substitution has been documented in subjects who were blind from birth and whose visual cortices, area V1, now serve as a relay station for the tactile information used in Braille reading. The fourth form of neuroplasticity is compensatory and can complicate the interpretation of observed learning and plasticity in people recovering from brain injury. In this case, an alternative mode of processing is now used to accomplish a task that was previously performed by the damaged area of the brain. For example, many people rely on spatial cues to navigate a route. If they incur brain damage to the nondominant parietal cortex, they may be left with persistent spatial-cognitive deficits. In order to navigate routes, they may have to learn to rely on verbal instructions. Using verbal instructions, they still may be able to achieve their goal (to reach a location), but it will be via an alternative cognitive strategy. No doubt the use of this compensatory strategy results in an altered distributed neural network, but rather than the emergence of a new function, it is the reordering of weights in the previously established distributed network that accomplishes the task. These four kinds of functional and neural adaptation (of the brain) can be explored with a variety of tools ranging from single-cell and cell assembly recordings to functional neuroimaging techniques in humans. The advantages of any research effort are that the research at various levels of the nervous system proceeds in parallel and that the results obtained across levels can be integrated to achieve new insights.

Our desire to address these broad issues led to the organization of a workshop on neuroplasticity that was held at the National Institutes of Health in Bethesda, Maryland, and a series of lectures presented at Baylor College of Medicine in Houston, Texas. The chapters in this book are an outgrowth of the talks given at these two venues.

We want to thank Dr. Mary Ellen Cheung of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke for her support and encouragement in organizing the workshop on neuroplasticity, which was held on the campus of the National Institutes of Health in Bethesda, Maryland. We also want to thank the late Dr. Sarah Broman for her critical comments on the organization of the workshop, as well as Jeffrey House and Fiona Stevens of Oxford University Press for their encouragement during the preparation of the book.

*Houston, Texas*  
*Bethesda, Maryland*  
*August 1999*

H. L.  
 J. G.

# Contents

Contributors	xiii
1. Historical Notes on Reorganization of Function and Neuroplasticity <i>Arthur Benton and Daniel Tranel</i>	3
<b>I. Neuroscience Research on Neuroplasticity and Reorganization of Function</b>	
2. Neuropsychological Indices of Early Medial Temporal Lobe Dysfunction in Primates <i>Jocelyne Bachevalier and Ludise Málková</i>	27
3. Cognitive Recovery from Traumatic Brain Injury: Results of Posttraumatic Experimental Interventions <i>Robert J. Hamm, Meredith D. Temple, Deanne L. Buck, S. Michelle DeFord, and Candace L. Floyd</i>	49
4. Growth of New Connections and Adult Reorganizational Plasticity in the Somatosensory System <i>Edward R. Ergenzinger and Tim P. Pons</i>	68
5. Neuroanatomic Basis for Reorganization of Function After Prefrontal Damage in Primates <i>Helen Barbas</i>	84
6. Reorganization of Function After Cortical Lesions in Rodents <i>Bryan Kolb and Ian Q. Whishaw</i>	109
7. Rapid Reorganization of Subcortical and Cortical Maps in Adult Primates <i>J. Xu and J.T. Wall</i>	130
8. Motor Rehabilitation, Use-Related Neural Events, and Reorganization of the Brain After Injury <i>Timothy Schallert, Sondra T. Bland, J. Leigh Leasure, Jennifer Tillerson, Reuben Gonzales, Lawrence Williams, Jaroslaw Aronowski, and James Grotta</i>	145

9. Role of Neuroplasticity in Functional Recovery After Stroke <i>Randolph J. Nudo, Scott Barbay, and Jeffrey A. Kleim</i>	168
<b>II. Developmental Studies of Neuroplasticity</b>	
10. Spatial Cognitive Development Following Prenatal or Perinatal Focal Brain Injury <i>Joan Stiles</i>	201
11. Neuroplasticity Following Traumatic Diffuse versus Focal Brain Injury in Children: Studies of Verbal Fluency <i>Harvey S. Levin, James Song, Sandra B. Chapman, and Harriet Harward</i>	218
12. Cerebral Reorganization in Children with Congenital Hemiplegia: Evidence from the Dichotic Listening Test <i>Göran Carlsson and Kenneth Hugdahl</i>	232
13. Reorganization of Motor Function in Cerebral Palsy <i>L.J. Carr</i>	247
<b>III. Techniques for Studying Neuroplasticity in Humans</b>	
14. The Developmental Disorders: Does Plasticity Play a Role? <i>Pauline A. Filipek</i>	265
15. Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation as a Tool for Detecting Changes in the Organization of the Human Motor System After Central and Peripheral Lesions <i>Eric M. Wassermann, Leonardo G. Cohen, and Mark Hallett</i>	291
16. Methodological Issues in Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Studies of Plasticity Following Brain Injury <i>Timothy C. Rickard</i>	304
17. Neuroimaging of Functional Recovery <i>Randy L. Buckner and Steven E. Petersen</i>	318
18. Computational Modeling of the Cortical Response to Focal Damage <i>James A. Reggia, Sharon Goodall, Ken Revett, and Eytan Ruppin</i>	331
<b>IV. Synthesis and Implications for Rehabilitation</b>	
19. Conceptual Issues Relevant to Present and Future Neurologic Rehabilitation <i>Paul Bach-y-Rita</i>	357
Index	381

# Contributors

**JAROSLAW ARONOWSKI, PH.D.**

*Department of Neurology  
University of Texas Health Science  
Center  
Houston, Texas*

**JOCELYNE BACHEVALIER, PH.D.**

*Department of Neurobiology and Anatomy  
University of Texas Health Science  
Center  
Houston, Texas*

**PAUL BACH-Y-RITA, M.D.**

*Center for Neuroscience and Department  
of Rehabilitation Medicine and  
Department of Biomedical Engineering  
University of Wisconsin  
Madison, Wisconsin*

**HELEN BARBAS, PH.D.**

*Department of Health Sciences  
Boston University and Department of  
Anatomy and Neurobiology  
Boston University School of Medicine  
and New England Regional Primate  
Research Center  
Harvard Medical School  
Boston, Massachusetts*

**H. SCOTT BARBAY, PH.D.**

*Center on Aging and Department of  
Molecular and Integrative Physiology  
University of Kansas Medical Center  
Kansas City, Kansas*

**ARTHUR BENTON, PH.D.**

*Department of Neurology  
Division of Cognitive Neuroscience  
University of Iowa  
College of Medicine  
Iowa City, Iowa*

**SONDRA T. BLAND**

*Department of Psychology and Institute  
for Neuroscience  
University of Texas  
Austin, Texas*

**DEANNA L. BUCK, M.S.**

*Department of Psychology  
Virginia Commonwealth University  
Richmond, Virginia*

**RANDY L. BUCKNER, PH.D.**

*Department of Psychology  
Washington University  
St. Louis, Missouri*

**GÖRAN CARLSSON, PH.D.**

*Department of Pediatrics  
Christian-Albrecht's University of Kiel  
Kiel, Germany*

**LUCINDA J. CARR, M.D.**

*Great Ormond Street Hospital for  
Children  
NHS Trust and The Institute of Child  
Health  
London, England*

**SANDRA B. CHAPMAN, PH.D.**  
*The Callier Center for Communication  
 Disorders  
 University of Texas at Dallas  
 Dallas, Texas*

**LEONARDO G. COHEN, M.D.**  
*Human Cortical Physiology Unit  
 National Institute of Neurological  
 Disorders and Stroke  
 National Institutes of Health  
 Bethesda, Maryland*

**S. MICHELLE DEFORD, M.S.**  
*Department of Psychology  
 Virginia Commonwealth University  
 Richmond, Virginia*

**EDWARD .R. ERGENZINGER, PH.D.**  
*Department of Neurosurgery  
 Wake Forest University  
 School of Medicine  
 Winston-Salem, North Carolina*

**PAULINE A. FILIPEK, M.D.**  
*Departments of Pediatrics and Neurology  
 University of California  
 Irvine Medical Center  
 Orange, California*

**CANDACE L. FLOYD, M.S.**  
*Department of Psychology  
 Virginia Commonwealth University  
 Richmond, Virginia*

**RUEBEN GONZALES, PH.D.**  
*Department of Pharmacology and  
 Toxicology  
 Institute for Neuroscience  
 University of Texas  
 Austin, Texas*

**SHARON GOODALL, M.S.**  
*Departments of Computer Science and  
 Neurology  
 Institute for Advanced Computational  
 Studies  
 University of Maryland  
 College Park, Maryland*

**JORDAN GRAFMAN, PH.D.**  
*Cognitive Neuroscience Section  
 National Institute of Neurological  
 Disorders and Stroke  
 National Institutes of Health  
 Bethesda, Maryland*

**JAMES GROTTA, M.D.**  
*Department of Neurology  
 University of Texas School of Medicine  
 Houston, Texas*

**MARK HALLETT, M.D.**  
*Human Motor Control Section  
 National Institute of Neurological  
 Disorders and Stroke  
 National Institutes of Health  
 Bethesda, Maryland*

**ROBERT J. HAMM, PH.D.**  
*Department of Psychology  
 Virginia Commonwealth University  
 Richmond, Virginia*

**HARRIET HARWARD, M.S., L.P.A.**  
*The Callier Center for Communication  
 Disorders  
 University of Texas at Dallas  
 Dallas, Texas*

**KENNETH HUGDAHL, PH.D.**  
*Department of Biological and Medical  
 Psychology  
 University of Bergen  
 Bergen, Norway*

**JEFFREY A. KLEIM, PH.D.**  
*Center on Aging and Department  
 of Molecular and Integrative  
 Physiology  
 University of Kansas Medical Center  
 Kansas City, Kansas*

**BRYAN KOLB, PH.D.**  
*Department of Psychology  
 University of Lethbridge  
 Lethbridge, Alberta  
 Canada*

**J. LEIGH LEASURE**

*Department of Psychology and Institute  
for Neuroscience  
University of Texas  
Austin, Texas*

**HARVEY S. LEVIN, PH.D.**

*Department of Physical Medicine and  
Rehabilitation  
Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral  
Sciences  
Department of Neurosurgery  
Baylor College of Medicine  
Houston, Texas*

**LUDISE MALKOVA, PH.D.**

*Laboratory of Neuropsychology  
National Institute of Mental Health  
National Institutes of Health  
Bethesda, Maryland*

**RANDOLPH J. NUDO, PH.D.**

*Center on Aging and Department  
of Molecular and Integrative  
Physiology  
University of Kansas Medical  
Center  
Kansas City, Kansas*

**STEVEN E. PETERSEN, PH.D.**

*Department of Neurology  
Washington University  
School of Medicine  
St. Louis, Missouri*

**TIM P. PONS, PH.D.**

*Department of Neurosurgery  
Wake Forest University  
School of Medicine  
Winston-Salem, North Carolina*

**JAMES A. REGGIA, M.D., PH.D.**

*Departments of Computer Science and  
Neurology  
Institute for Advanced Computational  
Studies  
University of Maryland  
College Park, Maryland*

**KEN REVETT, PH.D.**

*Departments of Computer Science  
and Neurology  
Institute for Advanced Computational  
Studies  
University of Maryland  
College Park, Maryland*

**TIMOTHY C. RICKARD, PH.D.**

*Department of Psychology  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, California*

**EYTAN RUPPIN, M.D., PH.D.**

*Departments of Computer Science  
and Physiology  
Tel Aviv University  
Tel-Aviv, Israel*

**TIMOTHY SCHALLERT, PH.D.**

*Department of Psychology  
Institute for Neuroscience  
University of Texas  
Austin, Texas*

**JAMES SONG, M.S.**

*Department of Physical Medicine and  
Rehabilitation  
Baylor College of Medicine  
Houston, Texas*

**JOAN STILES, PH.D.**

*Department of Cognitive Science  
University of California,  
San Diego  
La Jolla, California*

**MEREDITH D. TEMPLE, PH.D.**

*Department of Psychology  
Virginia Commonwealth  
University  
Richmond, Virginia*

**JENNIFER TILLERSON**

*Department of Psychology and Institute  
for Neuroscience  
University of Texas  
Austin, Texas*

**DANIEL TRANEL, PH.D.**  
*Department of Neurology*  
*Division of Cognitive Neuroscience*  
*University of Iowa*  
*College of Medicine*  
*Iowa City, Iowa*

**J.T. WALL, PH.D.**  
*Department of Neurobiology and Anatomy*  
*Medical College of Ohio*  
*Toledo, Ohio*

**ERIC M. WASSERMAN, M.D.**  
*Office of the Clinical Director*  
*National Institute of Neurological*  
*Disorders and Stroke*  
*National Institutes of Health*  
*Bethesda, Maryland*

**IAN Q. WHISHAW, PH.D.**  
*Department of Psychology*  
*University of Lethbridge*  
*Lethbridge, Alberta*  
*Canada*

**LAWRENCE WILLIAMS, PH.D.**  
*Guilford Pharmaceuticals*  
*Baltimore, Maryland*

**J. XU, PH.D.**  
*Department of Neurobiology and*  
*Anatomy*  
*Medical College of Ohio*  
*Toledo, Ohio*

# Cerebral Reorganization of Function After Brain Damage

*This page intentionally left blank*

# 1

## Historical Notes on Reorganization of Function and Neuroplasticity

ARTHUR BENTON AND DANIEL TRANEL

### The Birth of Concepts

*Spontaneous recovery* of function after a disabling injury or illness must have been quite evident to observers since time immemorial. Early physicians and natural scientists had no great difficulty accounting for the phenomenon. Both natural and supernatural forces were considered to be quite capable of determining the course of disease, for better or for worse. Thus Hippocratic medicine generally ascribed unexpected recovery to the “healing power of nature” (*vis naturae medicatrix* in the Latin terminology). “Nature is the healer of disease. . . . It is nature itself that finds the way; though untaught and uninstructed, it does what is proper” (*Epidemics* VI, 5; cited by Neuburger, 1926, and Castiglione, 1958). Through the ages, the large element of truth in this doctrine has been amply confirmed. Neuburger (1926) provided a detailed account of its promulgation and interpretation by leading figures in medicine from antiquity to the middle of the 19th century. The recent rise of alternative medicine, which is striving in some cases for more or less equal footing with conventional medicine, is another testimonial to the power of this position.

Reliance on the efficacy of supernatural forces as a determinant of the outcome of illness dates back even earlier. And as the worldwide prevalence of faith healers and of prayers and supplications offered on behalf of the sick attests, this belief is still firmly held.

In the early 19th century a more specific factor was introduced by the French physiologist Pierre Flourens. This pioneer of the ablation experiment insisted that the cerebral lobes operated as a whole, without specialization of function in any particular region. All parts of the lobes (of the hen and the pigeon!) subserved all perceptual, intellectual, and volitional functions equally. When the lobes were completely removed, all of these capacities were completely lost. Ablations that were very large, but not complete, resulted in impairment, but not complete loss, of